PART I - ADMINISTRATIVE

Section 1. General administrative information

Title of project				
Analyze the Persistence and Spatial Dynamics of Snake River Chinook Salmon				
BPA project number 9902000				
Contract renewal date (mm/yyyy)	02/2000			
Multiple actions? (indicate Yes or No)	No			
Business name of agency, institution or organization requesting funding				
U.S. Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station				
Business acronym (if appropriate) RMRS				
Proposal contact person or principal investigator:				
Name	Russell F. Thurow			
Mailing address	316 E. Myrtle			
City, ST Zip	Boise, Idaho 83702			
Phone 208/373-4377				
Fax 208/373-4391				
Email address rthurow/rmrs_boise@fs.fed.us				
NPPC Program Measure Number(s) which this project addresses				

NPPC Program Measure Number(s) which this project addresses

2.1A, 4.2A, 4.3A, 7.13A, 7.14A, 7.1E

FWS/NMFS Biological Opinion Number(s) which this project addresses

1.) NMFS consultations with the Boise National Forest require an assessment of the location of chinook salmon redds and spawning fish.

Other planning document references

- 1.) The Draft NMFS Snake River Salmon Recovery Plan proposes an analysis of the spatial structure of wild chinook salmon populations.
- 2.) Monitoring adult chinook salmon spawning escapements is listed as a Critical Data Need by IDFG (1992).

Short description

Emerging conservation theory suggests that recolonization and persistence of widely ranging species may be strongly influenced by the spatial geometry of remaining habitats. The relevance of these concepts to the persistence of declining stocks of chinook salmon is unknown. If patterns in the distribution and spatial structure of chinook salmon populations are important to their persistence in stochastic environments, effective conservation may imply maintaining or restoring a critical amount or mosaic of habitat as well as smaller scale habitat characteristics. We propose new research to describe factors influencing the spatial distribution and persistence of wild chinook salmon. As our central hypothesis, we propose that habitat area, quality, or context (location in relation to other spawning populations) strongly influences the occurrence of spawning chinook salmon. We propose to test this hypothesis by describing the distribution of chinook salmon redds and spawning habitats within the Middle Fork Salmon River drainage. This research will advance current understanding of the relationship between landscape characteristics and the distribution, pattern, and persistence of chinook salmon. Such information could be key for development of conservation and restoration strategies.

Target species

Snake River Chinook Salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha)

Section 2. Sorting and evaluation

Subbasin	
Salmon	

Evaluation Process Sort

	CBFWA caucus		CBFWA eval. process		ISRP project type	
X one or more caucus		one or more caucus If your project fits either of these processes, X one or both			X one or more categories	
X	Anadromous fish	X	Multi-year (milestone-based evaluation)		Watershed councils/model watersheds	
	Resident Fish		Watershed project eval.		Information dissemination	
	Wildlife				Operation & maintenance	
				New construction		
		Ì		X	Research & monitoring	
					Implementation & mgmt	
					Wildlife habitat acquisitions	

Section 3. Relationships to other Bonneville projects

Umbrella / sub-proposal relationships. List umbrella project first.

Project #	Project title/description

Other dependent or critically-related projects

Project #	Project title/description	Nature of relationship
8909800	Idaho Supplementation Studies	Collaborative, information sharing
9107300	Idaho Natural Production	Collaborative, information sharing
	Monitoring/Evaluations (ESA)	
LSRCP	Lower Snake River Compensation Plan	Collaborative, information sharing
Reimbursab	Hatchery Monitoring and Evaluation (Nez	
le Prgm.	Perce Tribe)	

Section 4. Objectives, tasks and schedules

Past accomplishments

Year	Accomplishment	Met biological objectives?
1995	Drainage-wide redd count.	N/A
	Begin aerial mapping of spawning patches.	
1996	Drainage-wide redd count.	N/A
	Aerial and ground mapping of spawning patches.	
	Measures of patch characteristics.	
1997	Drainage-wide redd count.	N/A

	Continue aerial and ground mapping of spawning	
	patches.	
	Continue measures of patch characteristics.	
1998	Drainage-wide redd count.	N/A
	Continue aerial and ground mapping of spawning	
	patches.	
	Continue measures of patch characteristics.	

Objectives and tasks

Obj		Task	
1,2,3	Objective	a,b,c	Task
1	Map the distribution of chinook salmon redds.	a	Count redds annually via aerial and ground-based surveys.
		b	Use GPS to spatially locate redds.
2	Map the distribution of potential spawning patches.	a	Aerially survey spawning areas.
		b	Validate aerial surveys with ground-based surveys.
		c	Use GPS to spatially locate spawning areas.
3	Describe spawning patch quality.	a	Measure indices of patch quality.
4	Relate the location and quality of spawning	a	Compile existing databases that describe basin
	patches to basin geomorphic features.		landscape features (geology, elevation, aspect,
			etc.).
		b	Explore various regression and discriminate
			function analysis approaches.
		c	Select the analysis and complete.
5	Evaluate the influence of patch size,	a	Explore various regression and discriminate
	quality, and context on redd distribution.		function analysis approaches.
		b	Select the analysis and complete.

Objective schedules and costs

Obj#	Start date mm/yyyy	End date mm/yyyy	Measurable biological objective(s)	Milestone	FY2000 Cost %
1	09/2000	12/2003		X	35
2	07/2000	12/2003		X	35
3	07/2000	12/2003		X	5
4	12/2000	12/2003		X	10
5	12/2001	12/2003		У	15
				Tota	100

Schedule constraints

If water conditions limit the accuracy of redd counts, additional years of research may be required.

Completion date

2003

Section 5. Budget

FY99 project budget (BPA obligated):	\$ 50,000

FY2000 budget by line item

Item	Note	% of total	FY2000 (\$)
Personnel	Four temporary field Technicians, one week of Helicopter Manager's salary, five months of permanent Scientist salary.	37	38,500
Fringe benefits	20.55%	8	7,910
Supplies, materials, non- expendable property	Equipment for aerial and ground-based surveys.	5	5,000
Operations & maintenance	Helicopter rental for aerial surveys, fixed- wing aircraft rental for access during ground-based surveys, vehicle costs	30	31,000
Capital acquisitions or improvements (e.g. land, buildings, major equip.)			N/A
NEPA costs			N/A
Construction-related support			N/A
PIT tags	# of tags:		N/A
Travel	Crew perdiem	5	5,600
Indirect costs	18%	15	15,840
Subcontractor			N/A
Other			N/A
	\$103,850		

Cost sharing

Organization	Item or service provided	% total project cost (incl. BPA)	Amount (\$)
RMRS	5 months of permanent biologist salary	13%	19,700
RMRS	Office space, administrative assistance	5%	8,400
RMRS	Computer hardware and software for data compilation, word processing, communication with cooperators, and analysis	3%	5,100
RMRS	GPS units for ground and aerial surveys, GPS and GIS software	12%	18,650
	\$155,700		

Outyear costs

	FY2001	FY02	FY03	FY04
Total budget	\$105,900	\$108,000	\$110,400	

Section 6. References

Watershed?	Reference
	Bovee, K. D. 1978. Probability-of-use criteria for the family Salmonidae. Instream flow

information paper No. 4. Cooperative Instream Flow Group. Fort Collins, Colorado. Burner, C. J. 1951. Characteristics of spawning nests of Columbia River salmon. U.S. Fish ar Wildlife Service Fishery Bulletin 52:97-110. Chapman, D. W., D. E. Weitkamp, T. L. Welsh, M. B. Dell, and T. H. Schadt. 1986. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 115:537-547. Columbia Basin Fish and Wildlife Authority (CBFWA). 1991. Integrated system plan for sal and steelhead production in the Columbia River basin. Columbia Basin System Planning, Portland, Oregon. Crisp, D. T., and P. A. Carling. 1989. Observations on siting, dimensions, and structure of salmonid redds. Journal of Fish Biology 34:119-134. Frissell, C. A., W. J. Liss, and D. Bales. 1993. An integrated, biophysical strategy for ecologi restoration of large watersheds. Pages 449-456 in D. Potts, editor. proceedings of the symposi on changing roles in water resources management and policy. American Water Resources Association, Herndon, Virginia. Gebhards, S. V. 1959. Preliminary planning report - Salmon River. Columbia River fisheries Development Program. Idaho Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Hassemer, P. F. 1993. Salmon spawning ground surveys, 1989-1992. Project F-73-R-15. Idah Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Hauck, F. R. 1954. A survey of the spring chinook salmon spawning and utilization of Idaho streams. Job Completion Report, Project F-1R2. Idaho Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Healey, M.C. 1991. Life history of chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus Ishawytscha) Pages 311-3 in C. Groot and L. Margolis (Eds.) Pacific salmon life histories. UBC Press, Vancouver, BC. Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG), Nez Perce Tribe of Idaho, and Shoshone-Banno Tribes of Fort Hall. 1990. Salmon River Subbasin Salmon and Steelhead Production Plan. Bo Idaho. King, J. and R. Thurow. 1991. Sediment monitoring techniques validation. Progress Report prepared for Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Division of Environmental Quality. U. Department of Agriculture	d
Wildlife Service Fishery Bulletin 52:97-110. Chapman, D. W., D. E. Weitkamp, T. L. Welsh, M. B. Dell, and T. H. Schadt. 1986. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 115:537-547. Columbia Basin Fish and Wildlife Authority (CBFWA). 1991. Integrated system plan for salr and steelhead production in the Columbia River basin. Columbia Basin System Planning, Portland, Oregon. Crisp, D. T., and P. A. Carling. 1989. Observations on siting, dimensions, and structure of salmonid redds. Journal of Fish Biology 34:119-134. Frissell, C. A., W. J. Liss, and D. Bales. 1993. An integrated, biophysical strategy for ecologic restoration of large watersheds. Pages 449-456 in D. Potts, editor. proceedings of the symposition on changing roles in water resources management and policy. American Water Resources Association, Herndon, Virginia. Gebhards, S. V. 1959. Preliminary planning report - Salmon River. Columbia River fisheries Development Program. Idaho Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Hassemer, P. F. 1993. Salmon spawning ground surveys, 1989-1992. Project F-73-R-15. Idah Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Hauck, F. R. 1954. A survey of the spring chinook salmon spawning and utilization of Idaho streams. Job Completion Report, Project F-1R2. Idaho Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Healey, M.C. 1991. Life history of chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) Pages 311-in C. Groot and L. Margolis (Eds.) Pacific salmon life histories. UBC Press, Vancouver, BC. Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG), 1992. Anadromous fish management plan 1992 1996. Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG), Nez Perce Tribe of Idaho, and Shoshone-Banno Tribes of Fort Hall. 1990. Salmon River Subbasin Salmon and Steelhead Production Plan. Bo Idaho. King, J. and R. Thurow. 1991. Sediment monitoring techniques validation. Progress Report prepared for Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Division of Environmental Quality. U Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, Boise, Idaho. Kondolf, G.M. 1988	a
Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 115:537-547. Columbia Basin Fish and Wildlife Authority (CBFWA). 1991. Integrated system plan for salt and steelhead production in the Columbia River basin. Columbia Basin System Planning, Portland, Oregon. Crisp, D. T., and P. A. Carling. 1989. Observations on siting, dimensions, and structure of salmonid redds. Journal of Fish Biology 34:119-134. Frissell, C. A., W. J. Liss, and D. Bales. 1993. An integrated, biophysical strategy for ecologic restoration of large watersheds. Pages 449-456 in D. Potts, editor. proceedings of the symposis on changing roles in water resources management and policy. American Water Resources Association, Herndon, Virginia. Gebhards, S. V. 1959. Preliminary planning report - Salmon River. Columbia River fisheries Development Program. Idaho Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Hassemer, P. F. 1993. Salmon spawning ground surveys, 1989-1992. Project F-73-R-15. Idah Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Hauck, F. R. 1954. A survey of the spring chinook salmon spawning and utilization of Idaho streams. Job Completion Report, Project F-1R2. Idaho Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Healey, M.C. 1991. Life history of chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) Pages 311-2 in C. Groot and L. Margolis (Eds.) Pacific salmon life histories. UBC Press, Vancouver, BC. Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG). 1992. Anadromous fish management plan 1992 1996. Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG). Nez Perce Tribe of Idaho, and Shoshone-Banno Tribes of Fort Hall. 1990. Salmon River Subbasin Salmon and Steelhead Production Plan. Bo Idaho. King, J. and R. Thurow. 1991. Sediment monitoring techniques validation. Progress Report prepared for Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Division of Environmental Quality. U Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, Boise, Idaho. Kondolf, G.M. 1988. Salmonid spawning gravels: a geomorphic perspective on their size	
Columbia Basin Fish and Wildlife Authority (CBFWA). 1991. Integrated system plan for sal and steelhead production in the Columbia River basin. Columbia Basin System Planning, Portland, Oregon. Crisp, D. T., and P. A. Carling. 1989. Observations on siting, dimensions, and structure of salmonid redds. Journal of Fish Biology 34:119-134. Frissell, C. A., W. J. Liss, and D. Bales. 1993. An integrated, biophysical strategy for ecologi restoration of large watersheds. Pages 449-456 in D. Potts, editor. proceedings of the symposi on changing roles in water resources management and policy. American Water Resources Association, Herndon, Virginia. Gebhards, S. V. 1959. Preliminary planning report - Salmon River. Columbia River fisheries Development Program. Idaho Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Hassemer, P. F. 1993. Salmon spawning ground surveys, 1989-1992. Project F-73-R-15. Idah Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Hauck, F. R. 1954. A survey of the spring chinook salmon spawning and utilization of Idaho streams. Job Completion Report, Project F-1R2. Idaho Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Healey, M.C. 1991. Life history of chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) Pages 311-3 in C. Groot and L. Margolis (Eds.) Pacific salmon life histories. UBC Press, Vancouver, BC. Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG). 1992. Anadromous fish management plan 1992 1996. Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG), Nez Perce Tribe of Idaho, and Shoshone-Bannot Tribes of Fort Hall. 1990. Salmon River Subbasin Salmon and Steelhead Production Plan. Bo Idaho. King, J. and R. Thurow. 1991. Sediment monitoring techniques validation. Progress Report prepared for Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Division of Environmental Quality. U Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, Boise, Idaho. Kondolf, G.M. 1988. Salmonid spawning gravels: a geomorphic perspective on their size	
and steelhead production in the Columbia River basin. Columbia Basin System Planning, Portland, Oregon. Crisp, D. T., and P. A. Carling. 1989. Observations on siting, dimensions, and structure of salmonid redds. Journal of Fish Biology 34:119-134. Frissell, C. A., W. J. Liss, and D. Bales. 1993. An integrated, biophysical strategy for ecologic restoration of large watersheds. Pages 449-456 in D. Potts, editor. proceedings of the symposis on changing roles in water resources management and policy. American Water Resources Association, Herndon, Virginia. Gebhards, S. V. 1959. Preliminary planning report - Salmon River. Columbia River fisheries Development Program. Idaho Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Hassemer, P. F. 1993. Salmon spawning ground surveys, 1989-1992. Project F-73-R-15. Idah Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Hauck, F. R. 1954. A survey of the spring chinook salmon spawning and utilization of Idaho streams. Job Completion Report, Project F-1R2. Idaho Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Healey, M.C. 1991. Life history of chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) Pages 311-2 in C. Groot and L. Margolis (Eds.) Pacific salmon life histories. UBC Press, Vancouver, BC. Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG). 1992. Anadromous fish management plan 1992 1996. Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG), Nez Perce Tribe of Idaho, and Shoshone-Banno Tribes of Fort Hall. 1990. Salmon River Subbasin Salmon and Steelhead Production Plan. Bo Idaho. King, J. and R. Thurow. 1991. Sediment monitoring techniques validation. Progress Report prepared for Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Division of Environmental Quality. U Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, Boise, Idaho. Kondolf, G.M. 1988. Salmonid spawning gravels: a geomorphic perspective on their size	
Crisp, D. T., and P. A. Carling. 1989. Observations on siting, dimensions, and structure of salmonid redds. Journal of Fish Biology 34:119-134. Frissell, C. A., W. J. Liss, and D. Bales. 1993. An integrated, biophysical strategy for ecologic restoration of large watersheds. Pages 449-456 in D. Potts, editor. proceedings of the symposis on changing roles in water resources management and policy. American Water Resources Association, Herndon, Virginia. Gebhards, S. V. 1959. Preliminary planning report - Salmon River. Columbia River fisheries Development Program. Idaho Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Hassemer, P. F. 1993. Salmon spawning ground surveys, 1989-1992. Project F-73-R-15. Idah Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Hauck, F. R. 1954. A survey of the spring chinook salmon spawning and utilization of Idaho streams. Job Completion Report, Project F-1R2. Idaho Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Healey, M.C. 1991. Life history of chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) Pages 311-3 in C. Groot and L. Margolis (Eds.) Pacific salmon life histories. UBC Press, Vancouver, BC. Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG). 1992. Anadromous fish management plan 1992 1996. Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG), Nez Perce Tribe of Idaho, and Shoshone-Banno Tribes of Fort Hall. 1990. Salmon River Subbasin Salmon and Steelhead Production Plan. Bo Idaho. King, J. and R. Thurow. 1991. Sediment monitoring techniques validation. Progress Report prepared for Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Division of Environmental Quality. U. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, Boise, Idaho. Kondolf, G.M. 1988. Salmonid spawning gravels: a geomorphic perspective on their size	non
Frissell, C. A., W. J. Liss, and D. Bales. 1993. An integrated, biophysical strategy for ecologic restoration of large watersheds. Pages 449-456 in D. Potts, editor. proceedings of the symposis on changing roles in water resources management and policy. American Water Resources Association, Herndon, Virginia. Gebhards, S. V. 1959. Preliminary planning report - Salmon River. Columbia River fisheries Development Program. Idaho Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Hassemer, P. F. 1993. Salmon spawning ground surveys, 1989-1992. Project F-73-R-15. Idah Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Hauck, F. R. 1954. A survey of the spring chinook salmon spawning and utilization of Idaho streams. Job Completion Report, Project F-1R2. Idaho Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Healey, M.C. 1991. Life history of chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) Pages 311-3 in C. Groot and L. Margolis (Eds.) Pacific salmon life histories. UBC Press, Vancouver, BC. Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG). 1992. Anadromous fish management plan 1992 1996. Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Boise. Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG), Nez Perce Tribe of Idaho, and Shoshone-Banno Tribes of Fort Hall. 1990. Salmon River Subbasin Salmon and Steelhead Production Plan. Bo Idaho. King, J. and R. Thurow. 1991. Sediment monitoring techniques validation. Progress Report prepared for Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Division of Environmental Quality. U. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, Boise, Idaho. Kondolf, G.M. 1988. Salmonid spawning gravels: a geomorphic perspective on their size	
restoration of large watersheds. Pages 449-456 in D. Potts, editor. proceedings of the symposis on changing roles in water resources management and policy. American Water Resources Association, Herndon, Virginia. Gebhards, S. V. 1959. Preliminary planning report - Salmon River. Columbia River fisheries Development Program. Idaho Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Hassemer, P. F. 1993. Salmon spawning ground surveys, 1989-1992. Project F-73-R-15. Idah Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Hauck, F. R. 1954. A survey of the spring chinook salmon spawning and utilization of Idaho streams. Job Completion Report, Project F-1R2. Idaho Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Healey, M.C. 1991. Life history of chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) Pages 311-3 in C. Groot and L. Margolis (Eds.) Pacific salmon life histories. UBC Press, Vancouver, BC. Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG). 1992. Anadromous fish management plan 1992 1996. Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG), Nez Perce Tribe of Idaho, and Shoshone-Banno Tribes of Fort Hall. 1990. Salmon River Subbasin Salmon and Steelhead Production Plan. Bo Idaho. King, J. and R. Thurow. 1991. Sediment monitoring techniques validation. Progress Report prepared for Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Division of Environmental Quality. U. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, Boise, Idaho. Kondolf, G.M. 1988. Salmonid spawning gravels: a geomorphic perspective on their size	1
Gebhards, S. V. 1959. Preliminary planning report - Salmon River. Columbia River fisheries Development Program. Idaho Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Hassemer, P. F. 1993. Salmon spawning ground surveys, 1989-1992. Project F-73-R-15. Idah Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Hauck, F. R. 1954. A survey of the spring chinook salmon spawning and utilization of Idaho streams. Job Completion Report, Project F-1R2. Idaho Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Healey, M.C. 1991. Life history of chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) Pages 311-3 in C. Groot and L. Margolis (Eds.) Pacific salmon life histories. UBC Press, Vancouver, BC. Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG). 1992. Anadromous fish management plan 1992 1996. Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Boise. Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG), Nez Perce Tribe of Idaho, and Shoshone-Banno Tribes of Fort Hall. 1990. Salmon River Subbasin Salmon and Steelhead Production Plan. Bo Idaho. King, J. and R. Thurow. 1991. Sediment monitoring techniques validation. Progress Report prepared for Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Division of Environmental Quality. U. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, Boise, Idaho. Kondolf, G.M. 1988. Salmonid spawning gravels: a geomorphic perspective on their size	
Hassemer, P. F. 1993. Salmon spawning ground surveys, 1989-1992. Project F-73-R-15. Idah Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Hauck, F. R. 1954. A survey of the spring chinook salmon spawning and utilization of Idaho streams. Job Completion Report, Project F-1R2. Idaho Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Healey, M.C. 1991. Life history of chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) Pages 311-3 in C. Groot and L. Margolis (Eds.) Pacific salmon life histories. UBC Press, Vancouver, BC. Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG). 1992. Anadromous fish management plan 1992 1996. Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Boise. Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG), Nez Perce Tribe of Idaho, and Shoshone-Banno Tribes of Fort Hall. 1990. Salmon River Subbasin Salmon and Steelhead Production Plan. Bo Idaho. King, J. and R. Thurow. 1991. Sediment monitoring techniques validation. Progress Report prepared for Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Division of Environmental Quality. Un Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, Boise, Idaho. Kondolf, G.M. 1988. Salmonid spawning gravels: a geomorphic perspective on their size	
Hauck, F. R. 1954. A survey of the spring chinook salmon spawning and utilization of Idaho streams. Job Completion Report, Project F-1R2. Idaho Department of Fish and Game. Boise. Healey, M.C. 1991. Life history of chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) Pages 311-3 in C. Groot and L. Margolis (Eds.) Pacific salmon life histories. UBC Press, Vancouver, BC. Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG). 1992. Anadromous fish management plan 1992 1996. Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Boise. Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG), Nez Perce Tribe of Idaho, and Shoshone-Banno Tribes of Fort Hall. 1990. Salmon River Subbasin Salmon and Steelhead Production Plan. Bo Idaho. King, J. and R. Thurow. 1991. Sediment monitoring techniques validation. Progress Report prepared for Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Division of Environmental Quality. Un Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, Boise, Idaho. Kondolf, G.M. 1988. Salmonid spawning gravels: a geomorphic perspective on their size	0
Healey, M.C. 1991. Life history of chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) Pages 311-3 in C. Groot and L. Margolis (Eds.) Pacific salmon life histories. UBC Press, Vancouver, BC. Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG). 1992. Anadromous fish management plan 1992 1996. Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Boise. Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG), Nez Perce Tribe of Idaho, and Shoshone-Banno Tribes of Fort Hall. 1990. Salmon River Subbasin Salmon and Steelhead Production Plan. Bo Idaho. King, J. and R. Thurow. 1991. Sediment monitoring techniques validation. Progress Report prepared for Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Division of Environmental Quality. Upepartment of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, Boise, Idaho. Kondolf, G.M. 1988. Salmonid spawning gravels: a geomorphic perspective on their size	
Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG). 1992. Anadromous fish management plan 1992 1996. Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Boise. Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG), Nez Perce Tribe of Idaho, and Shoshone-Banno Tribes of Fort Hall. 1990. Salmon River Subbasin Salmon and Steelhead Production Plan. Bo Idaho. King, J. and R. Thurow. 1991. Sediment monitoring techniques validation. Progress Report prepared for Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Division of Environmental Quality. U Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, Boise, Idaho. Kondolf, G.M. 1988. Salmonid spawning gravels: a geomorphic perspective on their size	93
Tribes of Fort Hall. 1990. Salmon River Subbasin Salmon and Steelhead Production Plan. Bo Idaho. King, J. and R. Thurow. 1991. Sediment monitoring techniques validation. Progress Report prepared for Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Division of Environmental Quality. UnDepartment of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, Boise, Idaho. Kondolf, G.M. 1988. Salmonid spawning gravels: a geomorphic perspective on their size	-
prepared for Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Division of Environmental Quality. U. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, Boise, Idaho. Kondolf, G.M. 1988. Salmonid spawning gravels: a geomorphic perspective on their size	
Kondolf, G.M. 1988. Salmonid spawning gravels: a geomorphic perspective on their size	S.
Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland.	,
Krohn, W. H. 1992. Sequence of habitat occupancy and abandonment: potential standards for testing habitat models. Wildlife Society Bulletin 20(4): 441-444.	
Mallet, J. 1974. Inventory of salmon and steelhead resources, habitat, use and demands. Job Performance Report. Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Boise.	
Nehlsen, W., J. E. Williams, and J. A. Lichatowich. 1991. Pacific salmon at the crossroads: Stocks at risk from California. Oregon, Idaho and Washington. Fisheries 16(2):4-21.	
Northwest Power Planning Council (NWPPC). 1986. Compilation of information on salmon steelhead losses in the Columbia River basin. Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Progra Portland, Oregon.	
Northwest Power Planning Council (NWPPC). 1994. 1994 Columbia river Basin Fish and wildlife Program. Portland, Oregon.	
Ottaway, E. M., P. A. Carling, A. Clark, and N. A. Reader. 1981. Observations on the structu of brown trout, <u>Salmo trutta</u> Linnaeus, redds. Journal of Fish Biology 19:593-607.	:e
Overton, C. K., S. P. Wollrab, B. C. Roberts, and M. A. Radko. 1997. R1/R4 (Northern/Intermountain Regions) Fish and fish habitat standard inventory procedures handbout. S. Forest Service General Technical Report INT-GTR-346.	ok.
Platts, W. S., W. F. Megahan, and G. W. Minshall. 1983. Methods for evaluating stream, riparian, and biotic conditions. U. S. Forest Service General Technical Report INT-138.	
Quinn, T. P. 1993. A review of homing and straying of wild and hatchery produced salmon. Fisheries Research 18:29-44.	
Rieman, B. E., and J. D. McIntyre. 1993. Demographic and habitat requirements for conservation of bull trout. U. S. Forest Service General Technical Report INT-302.	tion

Rieman, B. E. and J. D. McIntyre. 1995. Occurrence of bull trout in naturally fragmented habitat patches of varied size. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 124:285-296.
Rosgen, D. L. 1985. A stream classification system. In: Johnson, R. R. and others, editors. Riparian ecosystems and their management: reconciling conflicting uses: Proceedings of the first North American riparian conference; 1985 April 16-18; Tuscon, Arizona. GTR RM-120. U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. Fort Collins, Colorado.
Scully, R. J., E. J. Leitzinger, and C. E. Petrosky. 1990. Idaho habitat evaluation for off-site mitigation record. Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Annual Report 1988, Part I, Project 83-7. Department of Energy, Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife. Portland, Oregon.
Simberloff, D. 1988. The contribution of population and community biology to conservation science. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 19:473-511.
Thurow, R. 1985. Middle Fork Salmon River fisheries investigations. Job Completion Report. Federal Aid in Fish Restoration Project F-73-R-6. Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Boise.
Wolman, M. G. 1954. A method of sampling coarse river-bed material. Transactions of the American Geophysical Union 35:951-956.

PART II - NARRATIVE

Section 7. Abstract

We propose new research to describe factors influencing the spatial distribution and persistence of wild chinook salmon. Emerging conservation theory suggests that recolonization and persistence of widely ranging species may be strongly influenced by the spatial geometry of remaining habitats. As our central hypothesis, we propose that habitat area, quality, or context (location in relation to other spawning populations) strongly influences the occurrence of spawning chinook salmon. We propose to test this hypothesis by describing the distribution of chinook salmon redds and spawning habitats within the Middle Fork Salmon River drainage. A global positioning system (GPS) will be used to spatially locate redds and spawning patches that will be mapped using a geographic information system (GIS). Tests will be applied to determine the most appropriate spatial statistical analyses. If spatial concepts are important to persistence of declining chinook salmon stocks, the distribution of redds within suitable spawning habitats should significantly deviate from a random distribution. The 1994 Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program calls for the acquisition of long-term monitoring, indexing, and life history information for Snake River chinook salmon. While this research will focus on larger scale spatial questions about persistence, it will simultaneously provide information useful for intensively monitoring an ESA listed chinook salmon stock. Our annual estimates of the total number of wild chinook salmon redds will enable managers to estimate annual adult escapement in order to monitor stock status and evaluate the influences of various mitigation and restoration efforts. Four years of data have already been gathered. The project will require additional years to follow one generation of spawning fish in order to complete the analysis of spatial structure.

Section 8. Project description

a. Technical and/or scientific background

Chinook salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha stocks in the Snake River were listed as threatened under the Endangered Species Act in 1992. As a result of continued declines in abundance, their status was upgraded to endangered in 1994. The emergency classification to endangered terminated in 1995 and although the species status has not improved, they are again listed as threatened. The distribution and abundance of chinook salmon has declined from historical levels as a result of loss of access to historic habitat, passage mortality at dams and obstructions, habitat degradation, overharvest, and interactions with hatchery-reared and exotic fishes. An estimated 12,452 km of habitat are no longer accessible to anadromous fish in the Snake and Columbia river basins (NWPPC 1986). Construction and operation of mainstem Columbia and Snake river dams is considered the major cause of

recent declines in anadromous fish (CBFWA 1991). Nehlsen at al. (1991) identified habitat loss or degradation as a major problem for 90% of the 195 at risk salmon and steelhead stocks they identified.

The Council's Fish and Wildlife Program (NWPPC 1994), the Salmon Subbasin Plan, and IDFG (1996) all call for long-term monitoring, indexing, and acquisition of life history information for chinook salmon. The Draft NMFS Snake River Salmon Recovery Plan calls for an analysis of the spatial structure of wild chinook salmon populations. Agencies have adopted policies in attempts to protect and restore remaining chinook salmon populations. These policies may include measures to maintain genetic integrity of remaining wild stocks; measures to reduce mortality by improving passage, reducing the effects of exotics, and restricting harvest; and measures to maintain remaining critical habitat (IDFG 1992). Maintaining critical habitat typically includes preventing further habitat degradation and restoring degraded habitats. There is growing concern that critical habitat should include landscape characteristics such as habitat size and spacing (Krohn 1992) in addition to smaller scale characteristics such as stream channel features. Effective conservation may imply maintaining or restoring a critical amount or mosaic of habitat as well (Simberloff 1988).

Recent papers suggest that conservation of declining salmonid stocks may require spatial concepts (Frissell et al. 1993; Rieman and McIntyre 1993). In one of the few studies examining the influence of larger scale processes on salmonid occurrence, Rieman and McIntyre (1995) reported that habitat area influenced the distribution of disjunct populations of bull trout. The authors suggested that larger-scale spatial processes may be important to salmonid persistence. The relevance of these concepts to declining populations of chinook salmon is unknown. Existing literature is not sufficient to determine the relevance of larger-scale processes to persistence of salmonid populations. Theory suggests large scale spatial concepts may be important to persistence (Simberloff 1988, Krohn 1992, Frissell et al. 1993) but there is little empirical evidence (see Rieman and McIntyre 1995).

We propose to test these concepts by studying declining populations of chinook salmon in the Middle Fork Salmon River drainage. The study area is important for three reasons: 1) remaining wild chinook salmon have not been influenced by non-indigenous hatchery stocks which could confound a spatial analysis; 2) most of the drainage has been relatively lightly disturbed by anthropogenic activities so habitat quality has not been substantially altered in most areas; and 3) the large area contains multiple watersheds that provide the opportunity to obtain a sufficient sample size for testing hypotheses.

b. Rationale and significance to Regional Programs

The Fish and Wildlife Program (NWPPC 1994) asks for "immediate efforts to gather data on wild and naturally occurring spawning stocks...". The Fish and Wildlife Program, IDFG (1992), and Salmon River Subbasin Plan all call for long-term monitoring, indexing, and life history information for wild stocks. This project addresses those stated needs. The research also shares and relies on information collected by the Nez Perce Tribe, Shoshone-Bannock Tribe, Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG), and U.S. Forest Service. Results of the research are of critical importance to biologists and managers from these and other agencies including the NMFS. At a broad scale, emerging strategies to conserve and restore critical habitats and viable populations will be based on this and associated research.

c. Relationships to other projects

This research fully complements the annual chinook salmon redd surveys completed by a large group of collaborators including the, the Nez Perce Tribe, the Shoshone-Bannock Tribe, IDFG, and National Forests including the Payette, Boise, Salmon-Challis, and Sawtooth. Although the research will require multiple years to follow one or more generations of chinook salmon, results to date have proven very useful to researchers, biologists, and managers from a variety of agencies and tribes. Prior to this project, chinook salmon were inventoried in "index" areas only. This research represents the first comprehensive survey of spawning areas and redds in the basin and provides key information on overall spawning escapements and the distribution of fish. Results of annual surveys have been disseminated to a variety of federal and state agencies, universities, and tribes. In 1997, the principle investigator also collaborated with a University of Idaho project designed to assess passage of adult chinook salmon at dams and their movement into tributaries. During aerial redd surveys, we assisted the University of Idaho researchers by radio tracking tagged chinook salmon in the areas we flew.

d. Project history (for ongoing projects)

Progress to Date: In 1995, we developed a draft study plan and coordinated with IDFG and U.S. Forest Service biologists. We developed a Memorandum of Understanding- No.INT-95121-MOU to coordinate our research with State of Idaho biologists and fisheries managers. We developed a cost-share agreement with the Payette National Forest to assist funding of a portion of the field work. In September, we flew reaches of the mainstern Middle Fork Salmon River and 12 tributaries and used a GPS unit to map the location of potential spawning areas and redds. We completed ground-based counts in four stream reaches that were not visible from the air. In September 1996, 1997, and 1998, we surveyed the same 12 tributaries and reaches of the mainstem, mapped chinook salmon redds, and mapped the location of potential spawning patches. From 1996 to 1998, we completed ground-based surveys of spawning patches in eight tributaries in order to validate aerial survey data. GPS files have been corrected and transferred into GIS for spatial analysis. Summaries of 1995, 1996, and 1997 redd surveys have been submitted to collaborators listed above and other interested parties. Summaries of 1998 surveys are in progress. Since 1995, annual redd counts have ranged from 15 to more than 630. Redds were observed at elevations between 1100m and 2100m; a majority were constructed between 1500m and 2000m. Chinook salmon spawned in both mainstem reaches of the Middle Fork Salmon River and tributaries with about 99% of the redds to date observed in tributaries. Data have proven to be very useful to collaborators and other interested parties. Although the Project has been in progress since 1995, FY 1999 is the initial year of BPA funding. Previous year costs were paid by RMRS with some assistance from cooperators.

e. Proposal objectives

Objective 1: Map the annual distribution of chinook salmon redds in the study area. Our hypothesis is that redds will not be randomly distributed. Our assumptions are that trained observers will be capable of distinguishing redds and that annual water conditions will be suitable for a complete inventory of all redds in the drainage.

Product: A complete annual count and database of spatially located chinook salmon redds in the study area.

Objective 2: Map the distribution of potential chinook salmon spawning areas (patches of suitable habitat) in the study area. Our hypothesis is that spawning patches will not be randomly distributed. Our assumptions are that we will be able to develop criteria for accurately defining potential spawning patches and that annual water conditions will be suitable for a complete inventory of all patches in the drainage.

Product: A database of spatially located potential chinook salmon spawning patches in the study area.

Objective 3: Describe spawning patch quality. Our hypothesis is that patch quality will vary within and across drainages. Our assumption is that we will be able to develop criteria for accurately assessing patch quality.

Product: A database of spatially located potential chinook salmon spawning patches in the study area linked to characteristics of patch quality.

Objective 4: Relate the location, size, and quality of spawning patches to basin geomorphic features. Our hypothesis is that large-scale geomorphic features influence the location, size, and quality of spawning patches in a predictable manner. Our assumptions are that a database of geomorphic features is available for the study area and that a sufficient sample size of patches will be obtained to develop a robust model.

Product: A model that applies geomorphic features to predict the likelihood of a spawning patches location, size, and quality.

Objective 5: Evaluate the influence of patch size, quality, and context on the distribution of chinook salmon redds. Our hypothesis is that patch area, quality, or context influences the occurrence of spawning chinook salmon. Our assumption is that we will develop a large enough sample of spawning patches and redds to adequately test this hypothesis.

Product: A model that applies patch information to predict the likelihood of chinook salmon spawning. The model will incorporate a temporal scale to evaluate changes as the spawning populations expand or contract.

f. Methods

Objective 1: Because chinook salmon have specific spawning area requirements, not all areas of the Middle Fork Salmon River (MFSR) have the potential to support spawning fish. We selected potential study areas by reviewing past redd survey records, reviewing anecdotal accounts of redds and spawners, personal communications with biologists familiar with the drainage, and by reviewing records of juvenile chinook salmon occurrence. Existing information suggests a total of 12 tributaries and the mainstem MFSR have the potential to support populations of chinook salmon. Chinook salmon redd counts were made in Idaho as early as 1947, however, counts prior to 1957 were not consistently done (Hassemer 1993). Redd counts in 1953 documented chinook salmon spawning in the mainstem MFSR and the Bear Valley, Big, Camas, Indian, Loon, Marble, Marsh, Rapid River, and Sulphur creek drainages (Hauck 1954). Gebhards (1959) reviewed historical information and reported chinook salmon spawning in the Pistol and Wilson creek drainages in addition to those listed above. Juvenile chinook salmon and suitable chinook salmon spawning areas were observed by Thurow (1985) in ten of the above listed streams except for Wilson Creek. We also included Sheep Creek as a potential spawning stream as a result of its moderate size and the presence of suitable substrate. These 12 tributaries and the mainstem MFSR total about 804 km. The remaining tributaries to the MFSR are judged to be too steep or too small to support spawning chinook salmon (Gebhards 1959; K. Ball, IDFG, personal communication).

Returns of adult chinook salmon are influenced by a variety of factors (IDFG 1992) so redd counts will fluctuate annually. As a result of this variation, several years of redd counts will be required to adequately complete this research and it would be useful to follow at least one generation of fish. Spring and summer chinook salmon that spawn in the MFSR have a strong 3-ocean component (IDFG et al. 1990) so one generation would encompass at least 5 years. We initiated this work in 1995 by counting redds, and completing some aerial versus ground-based redd count comparisons. From 1996 to 1998 we duplicated the 1995 surveys. Post 1998 surveys will again duplicate the earlier methods. For consistency, the principle investigator will conduct all aerial redd counts in the mainstem Middle Fork Salmon River and twelve tributaries.

The primary survey method will be observations from low-level helicopter flights. We will fly all accessible stream reaches after chinook salmon have completed spawning and while redds are visible. Typically chinook have completed spawning by September 5. We will use results of IDFG index area surveys to determine when spawning is completed. Redd locations will be specifically located with the aide of a GPS unit mounted on the helicopter. Some areas of the streams may not be adequately surveyed from a helicopter. Small streams with a large amount of riparian vegetation or shading may be unsuitable for aerial surveys. The observer will record those areas that were judged to be unsuitable for aerial surveys. We will re-survey those areas with ground-based counts.

Objective 2: We defined criteria for potential spawning areas by reviewing existing literature describing spawning of stream-type chinook salmon, by observing characteristics of spawning areas currently used in the MFSR. We selected suitable sites that mimic those characteristics. Chinook salmon select spawning sites with relatively specific substrate sizes, water depths, and water velocities within some minimum-sized area for redd construction (Chapman et al. 1986; Burner 1951). Kondolf (1988) suggested that both substrate framework size and fine sediment content contributed to suitability of gravels for spawning. Our proposed spawning area criteria include: 1.-substrate of predominately gravel (8-64 mm in diameter), with smaller proportions of cobble (>64 mm) and fines. 2.-water depths ranging from 10-90 cm and averaging 30 cm. 3.-water velocities ranging from 0.2-1 m/s and 4.-a minimum areas of 16 m² meeting criteria #1-3.

Proposed criteria were based on the following information. Burner (1951) reported that stream-type chinook salmon spawned over substrate comprised of predominately gravel (60-86%), less than 6% of the substrate was sand size or smaller and the remainder was substrate larger than 150 mm in diameter. Thurow and King (RMRS, personal communication of unpublished data) described the mean surface (0-10 cm) particle size distribution of 15 summer chinook salmon redds in the South Fork Salmon River as 25% of the substrate consisting of cobble (> 64 mm in diameter), 55% gravel (8-64 mm), 10% small gravel (2-8 mm), and 10% sand or finer. Burner (1951) reported depths adjacent to 184 spring chinook salmon redds that ranged from 5-91 cm and averaged 29 cm. King and Thurow (1991) reported depths upstream from 30 completed summer chinook salmon redds that ranged from 12-50 cm and averaged 32 cm. Velocities adjacent to chinook salmon redds have been reported as 0.15-1.07 m/s averaging 0.61 m/s (Burner 1951), 0.37-0.67 m/s (Bovee 1978), and 0.2-0.61 m/s averaging 0.34 m/s (King and Thurow 1991). Redd dimensions provide a estimate of the minimum area of gravel required for a chinook salmon redd. Burner (1951) reported an average area of 3.3 m² for 184 spring chinook salmon redds. King and Thurow (1991) reported an average area of 4.7 m² for 30 summer chinook salmon redds. Redd dimensions tend to be proportional to the

length of spawning fish (Burner 1951, Ottaway et al. 1981, Crisp and Carling 1989) and MFSR chinook salmon are of similar size to those King and Thurow (1991) studied. Burner (1951) reported that the total average area necessary for a pair of chinook salmon to spawn was about four times the average redd area, averaging 16 m² for spring chinook. As a result, for redds averaging 4-5 m², a minimum area of 16-20 m² would be required to support a pair of spawning fish. K. Ball, an IDFG biologist with nearly 20 years of experience counting chinook salmon redds, has observed that chinook salmon rarely build redds in streams less than 3 m wide or in areas where suitable patches are less than 17 m².

Both spawning adults and rearing chinook salmon parr appear to be associated with low gradient, meandering C-type (Rosgen 1985) stream channels. Scully et al. (1990) reported that the average density of chinook salmon parr in C channels was 3.5 times the density in B channels. Within watersheds supporting chinook salmon, other researchers observed chinook salmon parr in 50% of the C channel habitats compared to 18% of the B channel habitats and 3% of the A channel habitats (K. Overton and R. Thurow, RMRS, personal communication of unpublished data). We will attempt to use existing information to map low gradient, C channel reaches in the 12 study streams as indexes to the areas with the highest potential for spawning.

We propose to sample each of the 12 streams listed above and the mainstem MFSR and to map the location of all patches of potential spawning substrate. The primary survey method will be observations from low-level helicopter flights during redd surveys. Potential spawning areas will be specifically located with the aide of a GPS unit mounted on the helicopter. Some areas of the streams may not be adequately surveyed from a helicopter. Small streams with a large amount of riparian vegetation or shading may be unsuitable for aerial surveys. The observer will record those areas that were judged to be unsuitable for aerial surveys. We will attempt to re-survey those areas with ground-based counts. Ground surveys will also be conducted in a subsample of reaches to validate aerial estimates of potential spawning substrate.

Objective 3: In addition to validating aerial estimates, we will conduct ground-based surveys to collect empirical information within a subsample of patches. We will measure patch length, mean width, and gradient; and characterize patch quality. In cooperation with RMRS watershed scientists, we developed a sampling scheme to characterize the substrate, depth, and gradient of each subsampled patch. The search for an index of spawning gravel quality has been a persistent theme in the fisheries literature and Kondolf (1988) argued that no single statistic can adequately describe gravel size distribution. To assess the quality of potential spawning gravel, as we propose, Kondolf (1988) suggested evaluating the substrate framework size and the fine sediment fraction. Framework size and the fines fraction might be evaluated by visual observation methods or by collecting gravel samples via freeze or hollow-core techniques (Platts et al. 1983). The remoteness of the study streams and endangered status of chinook salmon precludes gravel collection. We will characterize substrate size with two methods, an ocular estimate of the percent fine substrate along transects and the Wolman pebble count (Wolman 1954). Within each subsampled patch, we will establish random transects and conduct an ocular estimate of the percentage of fine sediment along each transect. To estimate framework size,

we will complete a Wolman pebble count by randomly zig-zagging and measuring substrate across the patch (Overton et al. 1995). Patch-specific gradient will be measured using a hand level. Depths within patches will be measured along transects.

We will calculate patch area and use that variable to represent patch size. Patch location or context will be represented by either the distance to the nearest patch supporting redds or by a value calculated from the number of redds within a predetermined distance of a patch (number of redds within 20 km, for example). Patch quality will be represented by a single variable of gravel quality or a variable derived from substrate, depth, and gradient measurements at each patch.

Objective 4: Existing databases describing geomorphic landscape features (parent geology, elevation, aspect, etc.) will be acquired. We will attempt to predict the presence of patches and perhaps patch quality using the suit of landscape features described above and in cooperation with RMRS watershed scientists. The dependent variable will be presence/absence of patches or patch quality and the independent variables will represent landscape variables. An expected equation would be: Presence/absence of patches= F(Landscape Features). We will conduct an initial analysis to determine which independent variables are correlated. Finally, we will evaluate and apply the most appropriate analysis technique to determine which variables have the largest predictive power.

Objective 5: To draw inferences about spatial processes influencing local populations, potential habitat patches should be consistent in scale with the habitat defining local populations (Rieman and McIntyre 1995). We propose to define patches for chinook salmon as discrete and contiguous areas of stream substrate judged to be suitable for spawning. Patches will be required to meet four criteria: 1.-accessible to chinook salmon, 2.-discrete areas separated by some distance of unsuitable spawning habitat from other patches. We will analyze the data to verify the best estimate of distance between patches. 3.-high potential to have reproductively isolated spawning groups, and 4.-must meet criteria for minimum patch size, gradient, substrate size, water depth, and water velocity judged to be suitable for chinook salmon spawning.

Chinook salmon are known to display strong site fidelity and home to natal areas. Homing is apparently linked to olfactory imprinting that may return fish to specific stream reaches (Quinn 1993). Rieman and McIntyre (1995) suggested that, as a result of homing, fish originating from a particular patch have a higher probability of mating with fish from that patch than with fish from another patch. We will evaluate the data to determine how much distance between patches is necessary to isolate spawning groups.

We will conduct our analysis of the influence of patch size, location, and quality at both the stream (N=12) and basin (all streams pooled) scale. We will attempt to predict the presence of chinook salmon redds using patch size, location (context), and quality. The dependent variable will be presence/absence of redds and the independent variables will represent patch size, location, and quality. An expected equation would be: Presence/absence of chinook salmon redds= F(Patch Size) + F(Patch Location) + F(Patch Quality). We will evaluate and apply the most appropriate analysis technique after considering logistic regression, multiple linear regression, and discriminant function analysis.

g. Facilities and equipment

The Principle Investigator has met annual objectives of this research since 1995. If sufficient funding is maintained, existing facilities and equipment have been demonstrated to be adequate to complete the work. The RMRS maintains permanent office space and associated administrative assistance and services (i.e. copying, mailing) in Boise, Idaho. RMRS also provides all necessary computer hardware (pc, lazer printer, modum) and software for wordprocessing (Wordperfect), database management (QPro, DBase), internet access (Internet Explorer), electronic mail (Applix), analysis (SAS), file management (Explorer, Smartcom), GPS file correction (PFinder), and GIS plotting (ArcInfo, ArcView). RMRS has purchased two GPS units with antennae and internal data recorders, one suitable for aerial surveys and one for ground-based surveys. This research necessitates rental of helicopter and fixed-wing aircraft for aerial surveys and to obtain remote access for ground-based surveys. Leased vehicles will be used for transportation and access during ground-based surveys. Major new equipment purchases will include backpack and camping equipment as existing gear for ground-based surveys wears out and gear for mainstem river access.

h. Budget

Amounts requested in Section 5 are based on true costs incurred during previous years work in each category: 1. Personnel: Permanent salaries include 3 months of the Principle Investigator's time and one month each for two cooperating scientists. Temporary salaries include costs for two 2-person crews to complete ground-based patch surveys and redd counts in areas not counted aerially. They also include salary for a helicopter manager during aerial surveys as required by the U.S. Forest Service. 2. Fringe Benefits: Set by the U.S. Forest Service. 3. Materials and Supplies: Most of the major equipment (GPS units, computers and software for analysis, see Section 5-Shared Costs) has already been purchased. New costs will include maintenance and new equipment for ground-based surveys and for access to mainstem river reaches. 4. Operations: The major operating expenditure is the rental of a helicopter and experienced pilot for aerial surveys. Fixed-wing aircraft must also be chartered for access to remote sites during ground-based surveys. Ground vehicle costs are minor. 5. Travel: Includes field perdiem for temporary and permanent personnel and the helicopter manager. 6. Indirect Costs: Set by the U.S. Forest Service.

Section 9. Key personnel

Russell F. Thurow is the Principal Investigator and serves as a Research Fishery Biologist for the Rocky Mountain Research Station in Boise, Idaho. He serves as a member of a team of scientists investigating fish population dynamics, habitat relationships, and factors influencing persistence. The mission of the team is to provide new information and techniques for understanding, conserving, and restoring fish populations and critical habitats in the Intermountain West. Three months of his time are charged to the proposal budget. He will direct the project, complete the annual redd surveys for consistency, aerially map potential spawning patches, coordinate the groundbased surveys, and work with other scientists (including RMRS team members Bruce E. Rieman and William L. Thompson- see below) to complete data analysis, interpretation, and transfer of the information. The scientist is responsible for a comprehensive research program focused on the biology of sensitive species. He conducts a series of individual studies that are conceptually related to the complex problem of conservation and restoration of sensitive native fishes. The scientist is currently working in three identified broad research problems areas: (1) identifying and understanding processes that influence the temporal and spatial distribution of critical habitats for sensitive native salmonids and other aquatic vertebrates; (2) developing techniques for understanding demographic and environmental threats to persistence of fish and aquatic vertebrates; and (3) developing interdisciplinary decision support tools that assist managers in conserving and restoring critical habitats and viable fish populations. He has extensive experience with anadromous salmonids and with the techniques employed in this research. He has already completed annual redd surveys from 1995 to 1998 and has mapped potential spawning patches in several tributaries. He is intimately familiar with the study area and maintains good working relationships with collaborators from agencies, tribes, and universities. Degrees: M.S. Fisheries Resources, University of Idaho 1976; B.S. Fisheries, University of Wisconsin-Stevens Point 1973. AFS Certified Fisheries Scientist 1985. Recent employment: Fisheries Research Biologist, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, 1977-1990. Recent relevant publications: 1) Thurow, R. 1985. Middle Fork Salmon River fisheries investigations. Job Completion Report. Federal Aid in Fish Restoration Project F-73-R-6. Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Boise. 100 pp. 2) Thurow, R.F. and J.G. King. 1994. Attributes of Yellowstone cutthroat trout redds in a tributary of the Snake River, Idaho. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 123:37-50. 3) Magee, J.P., T.E. McMahon, and R.F. Thurow. 1996. Spatial variation in spawning habitat of cutthroat trout in a sediment-rich basin. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 125:768-779. 4) Lee, D.C., J.R. Sedell, B.E. Rieman, R. F. Thurow, and J.E. Williams. 1997. Broadscale assessment of aquatic species and habitats. An assessment of ecosystem components in the interior Columbia Basin and portions of the Klamath and Great Basins. Volume 3, Chapter 4. U.S. Forest Service General Technical Report PNW-GTR-405. 5) Thurow, R.F., D.C. Lee, and B.E. Rieman. 1997. Distribution and status of seven native salmonids in the interior Columbia River basin and portions of the Klamath River and Great basins. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 17:1094-1110. 6) Thurow, R.F., D.C. Lee, and B.E. Rieman. (In Press). Status of chinook salmon and steelhead in the interior Columbia River basin and portions of the Klamath River basin. Pages 00-00 in E.E. Knudsen, C.S. Steward, D.D. MacDonald, J.E. Williams, and D.W. Reiser, editors. Sustainable Fisheries Management: balancing conservation and use of Pacific salmon. Ann Arbor Press, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

Bruce E. Rieman is a cooperating scientist on the project with one month of budgeted time. He has extensive experience in the biology, population dynamics, and conservation of fishes in the Intermountain Region and has been particularly active in testing the application of metapopulation theory to salmonids. Degrees: PHD Fisheries; M.S. Fisheries Resources; B.S. Zoology, University of Idaho.

William L. Thompson is a cooperating scientist on the project with one month of budgeted time. He has extensive experience in ecological modeling, sampling biological populations, and applied statistics. Degrees: PHD Biological Sciences, Montana State University with a Minor in Statistics; M.S. Fish and Wildlife Sciences, Pennsylvania State University; and B.S. Wildlife and Fisheries Biology, University of Vermont.

Section 10. Information/technology transfer

Studies will result in publishable contributions to the fields of fish biology and management, ecology, population biology, and conservation biology. Information will be distributed via contract reports; peer-reviewed publications in professional journals; oral papers presented at professional meetings, technical conferences, and workshops; in response to information requests; and at informal meetings with state and federal agencies, tribes, and university scientists involved in management of Snake River chinook salmon. As an example, the principal investigator

regularly distributes annual data summaries to interested	ed cooperators. Recently he submitted an abstract to the
Wilderness Science Conference to be held in May 1999	9.

Congratulations!